

CD

| 1 | BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION | CD |
|----|--|--------|
| 2 | KRISTIN K. MAYES Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission GARY PIERCE DOCKETED | |
| 4 | PAUL NEWMAN Commissioner APR I 4 2010 | |
| 5 | SANDRA D. KENNEDY Commissioner DOCKETED BY | |
| 6 | BOB STUMP Commissioner | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | IN THE MATTER OF NAVOPACHE) DOCKET NO. E-01787A-09-0443 ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.'S | |
| 9 | APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF A PECCHONNO 71635 | |
| 10 | NET METERING TARIFF S DECISION NO ORDER | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | , | |
| 13 | Open Meeting March 31 and April 1, 2010 | |
| 14 | Phoenix, Arizona | |
| 15 | BY THE COMMISSION: | |
| 16 | FINDINGS OF FACT | |
| 17 | 1. Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Navopache" or "Co-op") is certificate | ted to |
| 18 | provide electric service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona. | |
| 19 | Background | |

2. On September 17, 2009, Navopache filed an application for approval of a Net Metering Tariff, Schedule NMS. As indicated in R14-2-2307 of the Net Metering Rules ("Rules"), "Each Electric Utility shall file, for approval by the Commission, a Net Metering tariff within 120 days from the effective date of these rules" and "The Net Metering tariff shall specify standard rates for annual purchases of remaining credits from Net Metering Facilities." Navopache's proposed Schedule NMS is meant to comply with the Rules, which became effective May 23, 2009.

27

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

3. The purpose of Net Metering is to allow electric utility consumers to be compensated for generating their own energy from renewable resources, fuel cells, or Combined Heat and Power (i.e., co-generation).

Proposed Tariff

- 4. The Schedule NMS that Navopache is proposing would apply to customers with any type of on-site generation using the resources allowed by the Net Metering Rules, and would work in conjunction with the rate schedule from which the customer currently takes service. The proposed Schedule NMS follows the Net Metering Rules with respect to eligibility, metering, billing, and disposition of excess customer generation.
- 5. Partial requirements service is necessary for customers, such as Net Metering customers, who provide either all or a portion of their own generation. If the customer's self-generation supplies less than 100 percent of the customer's load, the customer must purchase utility generation for the remainder of the load. However, even if the customer's generation is sufficient to serve the full load, the customer is required to have utility service as back-up during maintenance or other outage circumstances relating to the customer's generation.
- 6. Schedule NMS would provide for power sales beyond what the customer's on-site facilities provide, as well as replacement power if the customer's facilities are not generating. Charges under Schedule NMS would be priced pursuant to the customer's standard rate schedule otherwise applicable under full requirements service. The monthly Customer Charge, however, would be the approved Customer Charge for time-of-use service. The monthly Customer Charge for residential net metering customers would be \$25.25 compared to the standard Customer Charge of \$18.30. This allows for the non-standard metering, billing, and pricing that Net Metering customers require.
- 7. In addition, as the Rules require, if the customer's generation facility produce energy in excess of the energy supplied by the Co-op during a billing period, the customer's bills for subsequent billing periods would be credited for the excess generation. That is, the excess kWh during the billing period would be used to reduce the kWh (not kW or kVA demand, or customer/facilities charges) billed by the Co-op during the subsequent billing periods. Customers

2

4

3

5 6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

taking service under a time-of-use rate would receive such credit in the subsequent billing periods for the on-peak, shoulder, or off-peak periods in which the kWh were generated by the customer.

- 8. For the last billing period of each calendar year (i.e., the year ending December 31). or for a customer's final bill upon discontinuance of service, Navopache would credit the customer for the balance of excess kWh remaining. Since Navopache is a winter-peaking utility, Staff recommends that the date of this annual true-up be in April (March usage) so that excess kWh generated in the summer and fall would be available to apply to bills when demand is highest in the winter.
- 9. The payment for the purchase of these excess kWh would be at the Co-op's annual average avoided cost. Navopache's proposed tariff defines Annual Average Avoided Cost as the annual average wholesale fuel and energy costs per kWh charged by Navopache's wholesale power suppliers during the calendar year. The annual avoided cost will be determined every July and applied to excess generation during that calendar year. The current avoided cost is 2.38¢/kWh. Since R14-2-2306(F) requires the avoided cost to be specified on the net metering tariff. Staff recommends that Navopache specify this avoided cost rate of 2.38¢/kWh in its tariff.

Fair Value Considerations for Charges to be Contained in Schedule NMS

- 10. Staff has recommended that the Co-op should recover from each net metering customer, through the monthly customer service charge (currently \$25.25), the costs of certain equipment related to providing net metering service.
- 11. Staff has considered the proposed equipment charge in terms of fair value implications. In Decision No. 64293, issued on December 28, 2001, the Commission determined the fair value of Navopache's property to be \$37,360,051. According to more recent information provided by Navopache, as of December 31, 2008, the estimated value of Navopache's plant is \$62,541,649. Although Staff considered this information, the proposed equipment charge on Schedule NM would have no significant impact on the Company's revenue, fair value rate base, or rate of return, because this charge is cost-based and relatively limited in scope.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Staff Recommendations

- 12. Staff has recommended that Navopache's Net Metering Tariff Schedule NMS be approved by the Commission as discussed herein.
- 13. Staff has also recommended that Navopache be ordered to file a revised Net Metering Tariff Schedule NMS in compliance with the Decision in this case within 15 days of the effective date of the Decision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Navopache is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Navopache and over the subject matter of the application.
- 3. Approval of Schedule NMS does not constitute a rate increase as contemplated by A.R.S. Section 40-250.
- 4. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated March 16, 2010, concludes that Schedule NMS should be approved as discussed herein.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s Net Metering Tariff Schedule NMS be approved by the Commission as discussed herein.

19 .

20

21 ...

22 ...

23 .

24 ...

25 . .

26 .

27

28 .

Decision No. 71635

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc. shall file a revised 1 Net Metering Tariff Schedule NMS in compliance with this Decision within 15 days of the 2 3 effective date of the Decision. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately. 4 5 BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 6 7 8 9 10 11 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON, 13 Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of 14 this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 14th day of April , 2010. 15 16 17 18 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 19 20 DISSENT: 21 DISSENT: 22 23 SMO:JJP:lhm\WVC 24 25 26 27

Decision No. 71635

Docket No. E-01787A-09-0443 Page 6 SERVICE LIST FOR: Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc. 1 DOCKET NO. E-01787A-09-0443 2 3 Mr. William P. Sullivan Mr. Michael A. Curtis Curtis, Goodwin, Sullivan, Udall & Schwab, P.L.C. 5 501 East Thomas Road 6 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205 7 Mr. Steven M. Olea Director, Utilities Division 8 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 10 Ms. Janice M. Alward 11 Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 12 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28

Decision No. 71635